A Perspective on the Potential Problems With Aspirin as an Antithrombotic Agent: A Comparison of Studies in an Animal Model With Clinical Trials
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Aspirin is the most widely prescribed agent to reduce the platelet-mediated contributions to atherosclerosis, coronary thrombosis and restenosis after angioplasty. While aspirin treatment has led to significant reductions in morbidity and mortality in many clinical trials, there are several scenarios in which aspirin may fail to provide a full antithrombotic benefit. The cyclic flow model of experimental coronary thrombosis suggests that elevations of plasma catecholamines, high shear forces acting on the platelets in the stenosed lumen and the presence of multiple, input stimuli can activate platelets through different mechanisms that may lead to thrombosis despite aspirin therapy.

Aspirin therapy is limited because it only blocks some of the input stimuli, leaving aspirin-independent pathways through which coronary thrombosis can be precipitated. These include thrombin and thrombogenic arterial wall substrates such as tissue factor. New agents that block the adenosine diphosphate (ADP) receptor, or regulate platelet free cytosolic calcium, such as direct nitric oxide donors, may be more potent overall than aspirin. Agents that block the platelet integrin GPIIb-IIIa receptor inhibit the binding of fibrinogen to platelets regardless of which input stimuli activate the platelet and, thus, as demonstrated in the cyclic flow model, would be much more potent than aspirin as an antithrombotic agent. The cyclic flow model has been useful in predicting which agents are likely to be of benefit in clinical trials. (J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:295–303) © 1999 by the American College of Cardiology
artery of anesthetized dogs was dissected out and an electromagnetic flowmeter probe was placed on the artery to continuously measure coronary blood flow. A plastic encircling cylinder was then placed around the outside of the coronary artery distal to the flow probe. The cylinder produced a 70% stenosis and intimal damage. The coronary blood flow was shown to periodically decline to zero, producing transient myocardial ischemia. The flow would then suddenly spontaneously return to normal and the ischemia would be resolved. It was subsequently shown that the flow decline was caused by a platelet-mediated thrombus, gradually forming in the narrowed lumen, which cut off the blood flow. When the friable, loosely packed thrombus broke up and embolized distally, the blood flow was suddenly restored. This model thus demonstrated “in vivo” that periodic, acute platelet-mediated thrombotic occlusion, followed by embolization, could occur in stenosed and intimaly damaged canine coronary arteries producing cyclic reductions in coronary blood flow. In addition, the thrombus was produced by platelet interaction with damaged arterial walls, and histologically consisted of mainly platelets: some red cells but little fibrin. These cyclic flow reductions (CFRs) that lead to experimental myocardial ischemia, and potentially lethal arrhythmias, were shown to be prevented by aspirin but not by heparin (9). This cyclic flow model has been described as representing some of the events occurring in a patient with unstable angina and useful for studying mechanisms of unstable angina (10–12). The model allows a reproducible pattern of recurrent thrombosis to be established that then permits testing of potential antithrombotic agents (11–15). A key feature of the model is the provision of an internal control for each animal (11–14). The model also permits potential antiplatelet agents to be given intravenously or orally (13). Finally, the model also allows for studies in anesthetized or awake, unsedated animals (12,14,15). Although widely used and quoted, this animal model, however, does not mimic very well some of the conditions existing in patients with atherosclerotic narrowing of coronary or peripheral arteries. The model does not have many of the substrates found in ruptured atherosclerotic plaques, especially the very thrombogenic lipid core (16). In addition, risk factors, such as smoking, diabetes, hyperlipidemia or hypertension, associated with increased platelet activity and vascular disease, are not usually associated with this model (17).

There are not, however, many alternative models that permit repetitive experimental measurements of in vivo platelet activity or platelet interactions with arterial walls over time. Evaluation of ex vivo platelet “function” or level of activity is labor intensive, difficult and usually can be done at only one point in time (18,19). Other animal models (11) or ex vivo perfusion chambers (20) offer some very distinct advantages but possess unique disadvantages as well, which are beyond the scope of this review. The authors are most familiar with the use of the cyclic flow model, which has recently been reviewed (11,21,22).

Cyclic flow reductions were first observed in 1978 in the popliteal artery of patients with peripheral vascular disease having intermittent claudication (23). In addition, cyclic flow variations have now been observed in patients at the time of angioplasty (24,25). Finally, the frequency and severity of cyclic flow alterations and platelet aggregation predicted the severity of neointimal proliferation after experimental stenosis and endothelial injury in conscious dogs (15). The cyclic flow variations in both anesthetized and conscious dogs and humans have been compared with the clinical manifestations of unstable angina and their sequelae by a number of authors (10,13,15,26). Seven antiplatelet drugs, including aspirin, have been evaluated in the cyclic flow model in several animal species, and were found to be effective in vivo as platelet inhibitors (22). These drugs have also been used in clinical trials with encouraging results (22). Two drugs, dipyridamole and prostacyclin, failed to be effective at clinical doses with acceptable hemodynamics in the cyclic flow model, and also were shown to not be effective platelet inhibitors in a variety of clinical trials (22,27).

**MECHANISMS OF PLATELET ACTIVATION AND AGGREGATION: INPUT STIMULI**

Platelet activation in vivo leading to platelet-mediated coronary thrombosis can be considered to occur in several linked phases (Fig. 1) (17,22). First, platelets adhere to a site of vascular intimal injury where the antithrombotic properties of dysfunctional endothelium are lost. Subsequently, many input stimuli can activate platelets in vivo and ultimately cause adhesion, clumping, aggregation and thrombus formation. These input stimuli or agonists can act independently, and yet some are also synergistic with one another. The net effects of these input stimuli are to raise platelet cytosolic calcium, which triggers contraction of platelet actin and myosin fibrils, leading to platelet shape change, and the release reaction. This is the calcium mobilization phase shown in the center of Figure 1. The final phase is the activation and exposure of the membrane glycoprotein IIb–IIIa fibrinogen receptor, shown on the right of Figure 1. Many studies have been done that address ways to block the various input stimuli and thereby decrease platelet activity.
CFRs in the canine model with coronary artery constriction and intimal injury, and in patients with periodic coronary thrombosis causing unstable angina, are caused by a variety of different mediators or input stimuli singly and in combination, besides thromboxane A₂, which include serotonin, thrombin, ADP, epinephrine, platelet-activating factor, oxygen-derived free radicals as well as shear stress, shown on the left of Figure 1 (22,28–32). In this review, we will attempt to compare effective experimental platelet inhibitors in the CFR model with clinical trials of the same platelet inhibitors.

**Aspirin as a Platelet Inhibitor**

There has been considerable interest and success with the use of aspirin to prevent platelet-mediated thrombotic events in stenosed coronary and cerebral arteries.

The Antiplatelet Trialists’ meta-analysis showed a 25% reduction in the incidence of cardiovascular events with the use of aspirin (33). The Physicians’ Health Study showed a 44% reduction in the first incidence of myocardial infarction (34). Identification of those patients and those types of events likely to benefit from aspirin treatment and those not likely to do so may serve as a means to detect those patients who may be at greater risk in a clinical trial. For example, the morning increase in myocardial infarction and stroke is accompanied by a catecholamine surge in many but not all patients, producing increased platelet activity (17,35,36). The Physicians’ Health Study demonstrated a 59% reduction in morning myocardial infarctions in patients treated with aspirin compared with controls, which is encouraging, although a relatively small number of patients were in this subgroup (37). A similar, although not comparable study, was done with British doctors receiving daily aspirin (500 mg/d), which did not show a significant decrease in a first myocardial infarction (38).

Recently, the benefits of aspirin for primary and secondary prevention of occlusive vascular disease were extensively reviewed (39,40). There is a clear indication for the use of aspirin to reduce the risk of death from cardiovascular causes or nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke in patients with unstable angina or a history of myocardial infarction, transient cerebral ischemia or stroke (39,40).

These clear benefits of aspirin therapy described in clinical trials of patients with atherothrombotic disease are significant. However, the animal model suggests that there may be some potential problems with aspirin and other platelet inhibitors that should be assessed in clinical trials. Therefore, we will examine some of these problems, compare them with clinical trials and speculate on why some investigators feel that aspirin is not a very potent platelet inhibitor (17,41).

**Input Stimuli or Agonists That Increase in Vivo Platelet Activity But Are Only Partially Blocked by Aspirin**

It has been shown in the animal model that the antithrombotic effect of aspirin can be overcome and periodic platelet thrombus formation restored by the experimental infusion of epinephrine (42), or by ventilating the animals with cigarette smoke, producing free radicals and acute elevations in endogenous catecholamines (43). In another study the antithrombotic effects of aspirin and the prothrombotic

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram showing the different input stimuli on the left that can activate platelets in vivo. These stimuli act by signal transduction through receptors to ultimately increase the free cytosolic Ca²⁺ level in platelets. In the center are the calcium mobilization reactions that interact with the receptors and regulate cytosolic free Ca²⁺ ([Ca²⁺]). Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) is converted to cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) by the enzyme adenylate cyclase (AC). cAMP is broken down to AMP by the enzyme phosphodiesterase. When cAMP is elevated, for example by prostacyclin (PGI₂) binding to a specific receptor and stimulating adenylyl cyclase (AC), some Ca²⁺ is stored in the dense tubules. This reduces cytosolic free Ca²⁺ and decreases the level of platelet activation. Another regulator of free cytosolic Ca²⁺ is cyclic GMP. Guanosine triphosphate (GTP) is converted to cGMP by guanylate cyclase (GC). cGMP is broken down by a phosphodiesterase to produce GMP. When cGMP is elevated by stimulation of GC by NO, free cytosolic Ca²⁺ is reduced by two mechanisms. Ca²⁺ is inhibited from entering the platelet from the plasma, and also Ca²⁺ is inhibited from leaving the dense tubules. This also reduces the available level of cytosolic Ca²⁺. Thus modulating free cytosolic Ca²⁺ can increase or decrease platelet activity. The final step in platelet-mediated thrombosis is the exposure/activation of the platelet glycoprotein IIb-IIIa fibrinogen receptor, which binds to fibrinogen to create a platelet aggregate.
effects of epinephrine were compared simultaneously by using the cyclic flow model and an ex vivo shunt through a perfusion chamber in the same dogs. The perfusion chamber had human fibrillar collagen as the thrombogenic surface (44). The CFRs and ex vivo thrombosis in the chamber were significantly inhibited by 10 mg/kg of aspirin given intravenously. However, when epinephrine 10 μg/min was infused intravenously for 5 min, both the CFRs were restored in the stenosed arterial lumen and a significant increase in thrombus formation occurred in the perfusion chamber (44). Platelet activation produced in vivo by many of the input stimuli, including thrombin, shown in Figure 1, is enhanced by a synergistic effect with elevations of circulating catecholamines (45). Catecholamine-enhanced thrombogenesis and catecholamine-dependent vasoconstriction may be important in humans because they may be the link between emotional stress such as anger, circadian variation of activity and heavy physical exercise and the onset of acute cardiovascular disease (46). Hypercatecholaminergic states, which enhance thrombosis and vasoconstriction, may trigger an acute coronary syndrome if they coincide with, or help to cause, the rupture of an atherosclerotic plaque (17,46). Thus, the animal model would suggest that we should look at the levels of catecholamines in this subset of patients to see how well any platelet inhibitor protects against acute thrombosis when catecholamines are elevated.

The animal model also demonstrates that high shear forces acting on platelets passing through severely narrowed stenoses can also overcome the inhibitory effects of aspirin (47,48). Furthermore, direct shear stress-induced platelet aggregation is not significantly inhibited by aspirin (17,47,48). Finally, it has been shown recently that epinephrine acts synergistically with shear stress to induce platelet aggregation, and that this synergistic interaction is likewise unaffected by aspirin (49).

CLINICALLY HYPERACTIVE PLATELETS

Some patients with coronary artery disease have more active platelets than healthy control subjects. Patients with diabetes mellitus type I and type II, hypercholesterolemia and some forms of hypertension have increased platelet aggregability (17). In one study the hyperactive platelets of insulin-dependent diabetics were inhibited less by aspirin than the platelets of nondiabetics (50). In addition, studies on the blood of patients who had an atherothrombotic stroke within the previous 72 h show they have hyperactive platelets that are more susceptible to shear-induced aggregation. This hyperactivity was not significantly decreased by aspirin treatment (51). There are some patients who are resistant to the effects of aspirin and whose platelets are not inhibited significantly by aspirin. In another study of 180 post-stroke patients, 120 showed a good platelet inhibitory response 12 h after 500 mg of aspirin postoperatively (aspirin responders) (52). However, 60 patients did not show a significant platelet inhibitory response 12 h after 500 mg of aspirin PO (secondary aspirin nonresponders). After a 24-month follow-up, where all patients received 3 × 500 mg of aspirin per day, a second fatal or nonfatal stroke or myocardial infarction occurred in 4.4% of the aspirin responders, but these events occurred in 40% of the aspirin nonresponders (p < 0.001) (52). Thus, there appear to be at least some individuals identified whose platelets may not be significantly inhibited by daily aspirin therapy, and they go on to have an increased incidence of ischemic vascular events (52). These small but controlled studies should give us insight and clues as to what to look for when planning and interpreting future trials, and also interpreting results obtained with the animal model. The potential clinical importance of these observations was recently demonstrated by Eichhorn et al. in patients with unstable angina undergoing coronary angioplasty (24). CFRs measured with an intravascular doppler flowmeter and thought to be platelet mediated were documented in these patients despite aspirin and heparin treatment (24). The occurrence of CFRs in these aspirin-treated patients may have resulted from the combination of elevated catecholamines and turbulence in the rough, stenosed lumen producing turbulent flow, which increased shear forces acting on the platelets during the procedure, or they may have been aspirin resistant (52). In addition, the ruptured atherosclerotic plaque leading to unstable angina also exposes materials that may provoke thrombosis by mechanisms that aspirin does not block, such as tissue factor (17). Plasma epinephrine levels measured in patients during coronary angiography procedures range from 100 to 300 pg/ml compared with 50 to 75 pg/ml in healthy controls (unpublished observations, J.D.F.). This increase in plasma concentration is enough to overcome the inhibitory effects of aspirin in vivo and in vitro (42). In a more recent study, CFRs were also observed in patients treated with aspirin and heparin at the time of angioplasty. The CFRs were subsequently abolished with an antibody that blocks the platelet GPIIb–IIIa receptor (25). This is a much more potent platelet inhibitor than aspirin (see below).

Patients with atherosclerotic narrowing of arteries and subsequent plaque rupture may be in a worse situation than the animal cyclic flow model used to study platelet interactions with damaged arterial walls. In the presence of experimental acute deep arterial injury, similar to that created by balloon angioplasty or rupture of an atherosclerotic plaque, aspirin reduces thrombus formation by only 55% (53). Exposure of tissue factor deep in the arterial wall will stimulate thrombosis, and this effect is not inhibited by aspirin (17). In addition, fresh mural thrombus is a very potent stimulus for further growth of thrombus in spite of aspirin therapy (17,54). Thrombin is also a major factor in fresh mural thrombus, and aspirin does not block thrombin activation of platelets to any significant degree (17,55,56).

The cyclic flow model demonstrated some inhibition of platelet activation by heparin (30). However, the mecha-
nism by which heparin inhibits thrombin production is not very effective within the thrombus itself, where the heparin-antithrombin III complex is excluded. There is recent interest in the use of more thrombin-specific inhibitors such as hirudin or hirulog (54). These agents are much more effective at inhibiting the growth of new thrombus on fresh mural thrombus than aspirin (54).

**ADP RECEPTOR BLOCKADE**

Ticlopidine and its analog, clopidogrel, are thienopyridine derivatives that exert their antiplatelet action by inhibiting adenosine phosphate (ADP) binding to its platelet receptors, thereby blocking ADP-induced platelet aggregation (41). These agents may also partially inhibit platelet response to other stimuli, which act in part by causing the release of ADP from endogenous platelet granule pools.

Based on large randomized trials, ticlopidine was found to be at least as effective as aspirin for the prevention of subsequent vascular attacks and death in patients with transient ischemic attacks, completed atherothrombotic strokes, unstable angina and intermittent claudication (57,58). Since ticlopidine inhibits primarily ADP-induced platelet aggregation, it has been suggested that a lower dose of ticlopidine, combined with aspirin to block thromboxane production, might be more effective (58).

A new thienopyridine derivative, clopidogrel, is currently being studied. The cyclic flow dog model demonstrated that clopidogrel was very effective at inhibiting platelet activity and abolishing cyclic flow reductions when given as an intravenous infusion (32). A randomized blinded trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischemic events, the CAPRIE trial, suggested that clopidogrel is more effective than aspirin (59). This was the first study of an antiplatelet drug to include patients from the clinical subgroups of ischemic cerebrovascular, cardiac and peripheral arterial disease under a common protocol. Clopidogrel has fewer side effects than ticlopidine and appears to be more potent (59). This study suggests that the ADP input stimuli may be more significant than the thromboxane A2 input stimuli to platelet activation. The pharmacology of clopidogrel has recently been reviewed (60).

Given these multiple pathways or input stimuli for platelet activation and the fact that aspirin primarily inhibits only one pathway, it is interesting to note that aspirin provides as much protection against acute atherothrombotic events as has been demonstrated in numerous clinical trials (17,39,40).

**PLATELET CYTOSOLIC CALCIUM MOBILIZATION**

**Regulation of cyclic AMP and cGMP.** Many tissues, including cardiac, skeletal and vascular smooth muscle, have their level of activity regulated in part by the level of cyclic nucleotides, ie, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (AMP) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (GMP). These cyclic nucleotides regulate the level of cytosolic free [Ca\(^{2+}\)]i (22).

The many input stimuli that can potentially activate platelets also act through this common mechanism, ie, to increase the level of free cytosolic calcium in the platelet. Thus, it would seem logical to attempt to decrease platelet function by regulating the cytosolic ionized calcium concentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]i), shown in the center of Figure 1. Two of the primary mechanisms for regulating platelet cytosolic calcium are the levels of cyclic AMP and cyclic GMP. These two general endogenous systems are potential targets for attenuation of platelet activation by sequestering cytosolic calcium. Prostacyclin and its analogues increase cyclic AMP, while endothelial-derived relaxing factor (EDRF) and nitric oxide (NO) analogues increase cyclic GMP. In either case, by increasing cyclic AMP or cyclic GMP, cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) flux and platelet activation are reduced (61).

In Figure 1, the input stimuli acting through specific receptors all have the same basic effect on platelets, which is to raise cytosolic calcium.

**NO DONORS TO RAISE CYCLIC GMP**

Organic nitrates are modest inhibitors of in vitro platelet aggregation (62). We have shown in the cyclic flow model that intravenous nitrates or NO donors, such as nitroglycerin, (63) and to a greater extent the direct NO donors, sodium nitroprusside (64) or the direct NO donor, NO gas and S-NO-cysteine (65), can effectively inhibit platelet activity in vivo at clinically relevant doses and prevent experimental coronary artery thrombosis. They do this, at least in part, by elevating platelet cGMP (64,65). In addition, these NO donors protect against renewal of thrombus formation and CFs in the cyclic flow model by elevated plasma epinephrine, which aspirin does not inhibit (64,65). Studies in healthy subjects and patients with stable angina pectoris have shown that transdermal glyceryl trinitrate and sublingual nitroglycerin (both NO donors) can inhibit platelet activity ex vivo (66–68). This was shown both by ex vivo whole blood aggregation studies and by drawing patient blood through a perfusion chamber. The patient blood was exposed to porcine aortic media in the chamber at high shear comparable with that created by coronary artery stenosis (67). The platelet aggregation studies showed significant inhibition by nitrates to both collagen and ADP agonists. Aspirin does not completely inhibit ADP or collagen-induced aggregation, but NO does inhibit (69). Aspirin also does not effectively inhibit platelet deposition on damaged arterial walls in perfusion chambers (44). Thus, both the cyclic flow model, patient ex vivo platelet aggregation studies and drawing NO-treated human blood over exposed porcine media all showed inhibition of platelet activity by the NO donor.

It has been speculated that some of the beneficial clinical effects of organic nitrates in unstable angina and the onset of myocardial infarction are due to their platelet inhibiting properties (70–72). It is known that during an evolving myocardial infarction (MI), the patient’s plasma cat-
echolamines are elevated. Studies with the animal model would suggest that an NO donor may be of more benefit in this particular situation than aspirin, but this will require a well-designed study to clearly demonstrate this.

In two large clinical trials, ISIS-4 and GISSI-3, there was no major overall reduction in mortality when glyceryl trinitrate was given for 6 weeks after an acute MI (73,74). This may be due to starting the nitrate too late, ie, 6 weeks after the acute MI, and because nitrates are not as potent as direct NO donors (70).

It may be that the use of better NO donors than nitrates, studied at a time when platelet-vascular wall interaction is more intense, such as during angioplasty or unstable angina, may make it easier to detect the platelet inhibitory effects of NO.

For example, in seven control patients, coronary angioplasty, which produces deep arterial injury, caused an increase in platelet activation as demonstrated by a rise in platelet surface expression of P-selectin and the platelet fibrinogen receptor, the integrin GPIIb-IIIa, 5–10 min after the balloon dilation. This occurred in spite of treatment with aspirin, nitroglycerin and heparin. In six patients, the intracoronary infusion of S-nitrosothione, a much better NO donor than nitroglycerin, started 10 min before angioplasty, significantly inhibited this percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty–induced increase in platelet surface expression of P-selectin and the platelet integrin GPIIb-IIIa (75). Thus, the animal model and a group of small preliminary patient studies suggest the NO donors may significantly reduce in vivo thrombosis by elevating platelet cyclic GMP levels. The NO donors raise platelet cyclic GMP levels, which lowers [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) levels. This is a biochemical step occurring after the input stimuli, and the animal model studies suggest that NO is likely to prevent renewal of platelet activity by elevated catecholamine levels (Fig. 1). Well-planned clinical trials should help to determine if NO donors can inhibit platelet activity better than aspirin and whether this has clinical relevance.

**CALCICUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS**

Since many platelet functions are dependent on the level of intracellular calcium for optimal activation, calcium channel blockers were tested in the animal model. Early in vitro and ex vivo studies of the effects of calcium channel blockers showed some inhibition of platelet aggregation but required higher doses than can be achieved in vivo.

We tested the acute effect of several calcium channel blockers, including verapamil (0.4 mg/kg), in the cyclic flow model at doses comparable with those given intravenously clinically, and found they did not significantly decrease in vivo platelet activity (76). We did observe, however, that this low dose of verapamil was synergistic with aspirin, abolished acute thrombus formation and protected against renewal of platelet thrombus formation with elevated plasma epinephrine levels (76). A clinical study using a higher dose of slow release verapamil (240 mg/d) given for 7 d did show that this higher dose of verapamil significantly decreased thrombus formation when patient blood was drawn over damaged porcine aortic media at shear forces typical of an arterial stenosis (77). The verapamil also decreased thrombin induced ex vivo platelet aggregation. In this study, the higher dose of verapamil was quite effective by itself, and there was no evident synergism when aspirin (325 mg/day) was added to the verapamil treatment.

Another group of calcium channel blockers, called dihydropyridines, have been developed. The calcium channel blocker, amlodipine, a newer dihydropyridine, was studied in the cyclic flow animal model, and it was found that 0.4 mg/kg IV did significantly inhibit platelet function. This dose was also synergistic with aspirin (78). The amlodipine alone provided significant protection against the renewal of platelet activity by elevated plasma epinephrine levels, while the addition of 5 mg/kg of aspirin gave complete protection (78). A large overview of trials with calcium channel blockers in acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina published in 1989 showed no apparent reduction in the risk of initial or recurrent infarction or death (79). However, the calcium channel blockers are a very diverse group of compounds and have been prescribed in a range of doses, along with other medications. Thus, it may be that more discrete studies with clearer endpoints are needed, to show if newer calcium channel blockers, like amlodipine, can inhibit acute thrombotic events.

**PHOSPHODIESTERASE INHIBITORS**

Another approach to reduce platelet cytosolic calcium is by raising cyclic AMP with the use of a phosphodiesterase (PDE) inhibitor, shown in the center of Figure 1. Dipyridamole was thought to inhibit platelet activity in part because it is a PDE inhibitor, but it appears to be more effective on vascular smooth muscle PDEs than platelet PDEs (80). Dipyridamole was totally ineffective at inhibiting platelet activity and acute thrombus formation in the cyclic flow model, added nothing to the effects of aspirin (81) and was of very limited value in most clinical trials (27).

**FINAL COMMON PATHWAY: PLATELET GPIIb/IIIa INTERACTION WITH FIBRINOGEN**

The final step in platelet activation, regardless of the type of input stimuli involved, is the exposure and activation of the platelet fibrinogen receptor, the integrin GPIIb-IIIa (Fig. 1). In the cyclic flow animal model of unstable angina, a monoclonal antibody (7E3), now called abciximab or ReoPro™, to this receptor was a very potent platelet inhibitor and completely protected against periodic acute platelet-mediated thrombus formation and CFRs. The CFRs were not renewed with elevations in plasma epinephrine combined with severe increases in the amount of stenosis and
shear forces (82). However, there was prolongation of the template bleeding time. Dr. Willerson’s group showed that some patients with acute unstable angina at the time of angioplasty, treated with aspirin, still had CFRs measured with a Doppler catheter (24). In another patient group with unstable angina, these authors showed that in those patients who had CFRs in spite of aspirin treatment, the infusion of the 7E3 antibody abolished the CFRs (25). A chimeric version (C7E3) of this antibody was produced to decrease the potential antigenicity. Adding this agent to aspirin and heparin produced a significant decrease in the acute thrombotic complications of coronary artery angioplasty compared with aspirin and heparin alone (83), and the benefits persisted for at least 6 months (84). In addition, C7E3 added to aspirin and heparin therapy significantly reduced thrombotic complications and myocardial infarction during angioplasty in patients with refractory unstable angina (85). Angioplasty produces deep arterial injury exposing tissue factor and the thrombogenic materials beneath the fibrous cap overlying atherosclerotic lesions. Thus, it is not surprising that C7E3 combined with aspirin and heparin was much more potent that aspirin and heparin alone.

As suggested in Figure 1, blocking the glycoprotein IIb-IIIa fibrinogen receptor, i.e., the final common pathway, is likely to be the most potent way to inhibit platelet activity in vivo. However, with this great potency comes increased risk of bleeding. A group of glycoprotein IIb-IIIa receptor inhibitors is now available for both parental and oral use. Many of these are being used in clinical trials and they also appear to be much more effective than aspirin for unstable angina and coronary angioplasty. These data have recently been extensively reviewed (86,87).

SUMMARY

It would appear that with the exception of more specific thrombin inhibitors, and possibly blockers of the ADP receptor, there is little advantage in attempting to individually block the many input stimuli that activate platelets as a means to inhibit platelet activity and provide a better antithrombotic effect. Consideration of the primary phases of platelet activation and the place where a platelet inhibitor acts, as illustrated in Figure 1, may facilitate an understanding of the efficacy and potency of platelet-inhibiting drugs as they become available. Aspirin will continue to be widely used for patients with vascular disease (5,6); however, there are a number of situations in which increased thrombotic risk requires the use of a more potent platelet inhibitor than aspirin. Conditions such as unstable angina, angiofolly, coronary stenting and thrombolysis are likely to require more potent platelet inhibitors. In these acute clinical situations, the fibrous cap over an atherosclerotic plaque has been ruptured or may be ruptured by interventional procedures. This produces deep arterial injury and exposes a much more thrombogenic surface.

The use of more potent NO donors may offer an alternative means of reducing platelet activity by elevating platelet cyclic GMP levels and lowering platelet cytosolic calcium. An attractive feature of this form of intravenous therapy is that platelet function will return to normal when the use of the NO donor is terminated. In those instances when a very potent platelet inhibitor is needed, the glycoprotein IIb-IIIa fibrinogen receptor antagonists can be utilized. As oral forms of GPIIb-IIIa receptor antagonists become available, they may be useful for reducing the problems of restenosis and intimal hyperplasia that occur after angioplasty, atherectomy and arterial stenting, which are thought to be due in part to significantly increased platelet interactions with severely damaged arterial walls, and for which aspirin has not been very effective.
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