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**Preconditioning, Collateral Recruitment and Adenosine**

We read with interest the recent article by Billinger et al. (1). It shows that the myocardial adaptation to repetitive ischemia during percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) is partially due to collateral recruitment, which accounted for 30% of the observed variation in intracoronary ST segment shifts and is mainly related to ischemic preconditioning. These findings are in agreement with several previous reports by our group (2–4) and others (5,6) using the PTCA model of ischemic preconditioning. However, it is surprising that, by contrast to extensive evidence supporting a prominent role for adenosine and its receptors in mediating the cardioprotective effects of preconditioning in both experimental (7–9) and clinical (10–12) studies, Billinger et al. (1) failed to show pharmacologic preconditioning with adenosine during PTCA. We thank Tomai and colleagues for their comments on our recent investigation (1). Their reading of our report is different from the wording as well as from the intended meaning, which was that even in patients with only a few collateral channels, their recruitment, as an adaptive mechanism to repetitive ischemia does occur. Our study did not show that the development of ischemic tolerance is "mainly related to ischemic preconditioning." If collateral recruitment was observed to account for 30% of the variation in electrocardiographic ST segment shifts, the quoted conclusion certainly cannot be drawn. It can only be suggested (as we did in our conclusion) that ischemic preconditioning may be a relevant factor in the development of tolerance to ischemia. It is correct that we could not reproduce recent data from the published reports on the effectiveness of adenosine as "preconditioner." This was the case despite the fact that we used a study protocol and dosage of adenosine identical to that used by Leesar et al. in their investigation (2). To call the three cited studies in humans on the effect of adenosine “extensive evidence” appears slightly exaggerated to us.