

CORRESPONDENCE

Letters to the Editor

Is Ventilation Efficiency an Additional Target of Exercise Training Benefits in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction?

We read with interest the remarkable results by Edelmann et al. (1) on the case series of patients with heart failure (HF) with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) undergoing a program of exercise training (ET). The researchers should be congratulated for providing this unique demonstration of the benefits of a regular physical activity program in this setting.

Our interest primarily focuses on the improvement in symptom-limited exercise capacity assessed by gas exchange analysis, and we would like to ask for some informative notes about how and whether regular exercise may have prompted any potential improvement in the ventilatory response to maximal exercise. As stressed in the accompanying editorial, peak oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$) is objective and provides a reliable level of overall exercise performance; however, the amount of information derived by cardiopulmonary testing is actually much broader (2). Specifically, an abnormal ventilatory efficiency (i.e., an increased slope of ventilation [VE] vs. CO_2 production rate [$\dot{V}CO_2$]) rather than peak $\dot{V}O_2$ has been identified as a peculiar feature of an abnormal physiology behind exercise limitation in HFpEF (3,4), and the degree of ventilation efficiency in diastolic HF is actually prognostic (5). There is some evidence on how ventilation efficiency may be positively modulated by ET programs in patients with systolic HF (6).

The researchers reported that maximal ventilatory exchange, although increased, did not significantly change after ET, but it would be helpful to know whether the VE versus $\dot{V}CO_2$ slope was actually improved. Indeed, an improved VE versus $\dot{V}CO_2$ slope, in the presence of no major changes in maximal ventilatory exchange, would suggest, for similar levels of increasing exercise load, a better matching between exercise catabolic products (CO_2) and their elimination.

A potential improvement in the pattern of ventilation efficiency might relate to the observed changes in left ventricular diastolic function, providing further progress on the level of evidence of benefits by ET interventions in HFpEF syndrome.

***Marco Guazzi, MD, PhD**
Alessandra Vitelli, MD

*Cardiology–Cardiopulmonary Laboratory
IRCCS San Donato University Hospital,
Piazza E. Malan
1 20097 San Donato Milanese
Italy
E-mail: marco.guazzi@unimi.it

REFERENCES

1. Edelmann F, Gelbrich G, Düngen H-D, et al. Exercise training improves exercise capacity and diastolic function in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: results of the Ex-DHF (Exercise Training in Diastolic Heart Failure) pilot study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:1780–91.
2. Balady GJ, Arena R, Sietsema K, et al. Clinician's guide to cardiopulmonary exercise testing in adults: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2010;122:191–225.
3. Witte KK, Nikitin NP, Cleland JG, Clark AL. Excessive breathlessness in patients with diastolic heart failure. *Heart* 2006;92:1425–9.
4. Arruda AL, Pellikka PA, Olson TP, Johnson BD. Exercise capacity, breathing pattern, and gas exchange during exercise for patients with isolated diastolic dysfunction. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2007;20:838–46.
5. Guazzi M, Myers J, Arena R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the clinical and prognostic assessment of diastolic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:1883–90.
6. Coats AJ, Adamopoulos S, Radaelli A, et al. Controlled trial of physical training in chronic heart failure. Exercise performance, hemodynamics, ventilation, and autonomic function. *Circulation* 1992;85:2119–31.

Reply

In response to the comment by Drs. Guazzi and Vitelli regarding our paper (1), we provide further details of the multicenter Ex-DHF (Exercise Training in Diastolic Heart Failure) pilot study investigating exercise training in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). We agree with Drs. Guazzi and Vitelli that cardiopulmonary testing even in a trial that is investigating exercise training allows collecting more information than changes in peak oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$). Drs. Guazzi and Vitelli demonstrated that beyond reduced peak $\dot{V}O_2$, disturbed ventilatory efficiency measured as increased ventilation (VE)/carbon dioxide output ($\dot{V}CO_2$) slope is of prognostic relevance also in patients with HFpEF (2). However, the extent of the decrease in ventilatory efficiency in HFpEF seems to also depend on disease severity (2). Despite the relevant decreased peak $\dot{V}O_2$ in our HFpEF cohort, the mean baseline VE/ $\dot{V}CO_2$ slope values (27.2 ± 2.9) were better than those reported by others (2–4). Of note, the values measured in our pilot trial suggested overall preserved ventilatory efficiency despite our patients fulfilling the strict criteria of the European Society of Cardiology guidelines for the diagnosis of HFpEF (1). After 3 months of follow-up, no significant changes in either group or changes between the 2 groups were observed (training group 27.6 vs. 27.6, $p = 0.98$; control group 26.3 vs. 27.0, $p = 0.17$; the difference between groups was adjusted for baseline values: $-0.5, -2.4$ to $+1.5, p = 0.63$). Similar results have been obtained also by others (3,4). Interestingly, like Kitzman et al. (4), we observed increased values of $\dot{V}CO_2$ after exercise training (training group 1.78 vs. 1.90 l/min, $p = 0.06$; control group 1.82 vs. 1.68 l/min, $p = 0.13$; the difference between groups was adjusted for baseline values: $+0.26, +0.04$ to $+0.48, p = 0.02$), and we found that this increase was significantly correlated with a decrease in E/e' ($r = -0.29, p = 0.03$).

This also suggests that peripheral components such as muscle function had a share in the improvement seen in the intervention group (5). After all, it is not yet clear whether exercise training can induce an improvement in ventilatory efficiency in HFpEF. A larger, prospective, randomized controlled trial that is now underway (6) will contribute to clarification of this issue.

Frank Edelmann, MD
Götz Gelbrich, PhD
Rolf Wachter, MD
Martin Halle, MD
***Burkert Pieske, MD**

*Department of Cardiology
University of Graz
Auenbrugger Platz 15
A-8036 Graz
Austria
E-mail: burkert.pieske@meduni-graz.at

doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2011.11.062

REFERENCES

1. Edelmann F, Gelbrich G, Düngen HD, et al. Exercise training improves exercise capacity and diastolic function in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: results of the Ex-DHF (Exercise Training in Diastolic Heart Failure) pilot study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:1780–91.
2. Guazzi M, Myers J, Arena R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the clinical and prognostic assessment of diastolic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:1883–90.
3. Smart N, Haluska B, Jeffriess L, Marwick TH. Exercise training in systolic and diastolic dysfunction: effects on cardiac function, functional capacity, and quality of life. *Am Heart J* 2007;153:530–6.
4. Kitzman DW, Brubaker PH, Morgan TM, Stewart KP, Little WC. Exercise training in older patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a randomized, controlled, single-blind trial. *Circ Heart Fail* 2010;3:659–67.
5. Haykowsky MJ, Brubaker PH, John JM, Stewart KP, Morgan TM, Kitzman DW. Determinants of exercise intolerance in elderly heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:265–74.
6. Exercise Training in Diastolic Heart Failure. Current Controlled Trials. June 2011. Available at: <http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN86879094/>. Accessed March 2, 2012.

Prevalence of J-Point Elevation in Families With Sudden Arrhythmic Death Syndrome

We congratulate Nunn et al. (1) for their interesting report published recently in the *Journal*. They reported that J-point elevation in the inferolateral leads was more prevalent in the first-degree relatives of patients with sudden arrhythmic death syndrome (SADS) than in controls. They suggested that early repolarization was a potentially heritable proarrhythmic marker, risk modifier for lethal arrhythmia, or marker of proarrhythmia

in SADS (1). Because J-point elevation is highly prevalent in the healthy population, the report by Nunn et al. (1) encourages the development of better clinical algorithms. Because this study was confined to the relatives of patients with SADS, the significance of J-point elevation found in clinically healthy individuals (electrocardiogram obtained for pre-operative clearance, sports suitability, or job-related check) in the absence of a family history of SADS would probably be minimal. Should it be important to obtain family history in individuals showing J-point elevation? The researchers highlighted that a gene association study or linkage analysis to identify genetic candidates is a logical next step. Although waiting for the availability of more extensive knowledge on the genetic basis of J-point elevation, the risk of missing a potential warning marker would continue to loom in clinical practice.

The group of J-wave syndromes is a spectrum of disorders that involve accentuation of the epicardial action potential notch in different regions of the heart that may predispose patients to develop phase 2 reentry and ventricular tachyarrhythmias. J-point elevation has been divided into 3 subtypes (2,3). An early repolarization pattern in the lateral precordial leads is rarely seen in survivors of ventricular fibrillation (VF) (type 1). On the other hand, J-point changes in inferior or inferolateral leads are usually associated with many cases of idiopathic VF (type 2), and global early repolarization patterns are associated with the highest risk for development of malignant arrhythmias, including VF storms (type 3).

We have observed J-point elevations in young carriers of mutations of various genes (including lamin A/C and plakophilin 2), as well as in healthy relatives of patients with mutation (Fig. 1). After the publication of Nunn et al. (1), this finding cannot be ignored, and it will be important to develop a consensus for the approach to healthy individuals with J-point elevation with and without a family history of SADS.

Alessandra Serio, MD
Nupoor Narula, BS
Antonio Frontera, MD
Fabiana Isabella Gambarin, MD
***Eloisa Arbustini, MD**

*Centre for Heritable Cardiovascular Diseases
IRCCS Foundation Policlinico San Matteo
Piazzale Golgi 19
27100 Pavia
Italy
E-mail: e.arbustini@smatteo.pv.it

doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2011.11.060

REFERENCES

1. Nunn LM, Bhar-Amato J, Lowe MD, et al. Prevalence of J-point elevation in sudden arrhythmic death syndrome families. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:286–90.
2. Yan GX, Antzelevich C. Cellular basis for the electrocardiographic J wave. *Circulation* 1996;93:372–9.
3. Antzelevich C, Yan GX. J wave syndromes. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:549–58.